Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/030,020	GERKING, LUDER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	(3)	·
(2) <u>Andreas H. Grubert</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 May 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: that of record.		
Claims discussed: those pending.		
Prior art documents discussed: that of record.		
Part II.		*
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ex. Eashoo called Mr. Grubert regarding a proposed Exr. Amdt. to cancel the non-elected claims. Mr. Grubert approved.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
M. Earl		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)